

QUINTESSENCE MODEL AND COSMIC MICROWAVE BACKGROUND

PAUL H. FRAMPTON

*Institute of Field Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3255*

A particular kind of quintessence is considered, with equation of motion $p_Q/\rho_Q = -1$, corresponding to a cosmological term with time-dependence $\Lambda(t) = \Lambda(t_0)(R(t_0)/R(t))^P$ and we examine how values of Ω_m and Ω_Λ depend on P .

In this talk I summarize the paper¹. We shall investigate the position of the first Doppler peak in the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) analysis using results published earlier².

The combination of the information about the first Doppler peak and the complementary analysis of the deceleration parameter derived from observations of the high-red-shift supernovae leads to fairly precise values for the cosmic parameters Ω_m and Ω_Λ . We shall therefore also investigate the effect of quintessence on the values of these parameters.

To introduce our quintessence model as a time-dependent cosmological term, we start from the Einstein equation:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda(t)g_{\mu\nu} + 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} \quad (1)$$

where $\Lambda(t)$ depends on time as will be specified later and $T_\nu^\mu = \text{diag}(\rho, -p, -p, -p)$. Using the Robertson-Walker metric, the '00' component of Eq.(1) is

$$\left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R}\right)^2 + \frac{k}{R^2} = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\Lambda \quad (2)$$

while the 'ii' component is

$$2\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} + \frac{\dot{R}^2}{R^2} + \frac{k}{R^2} = -8\pi Gp + \Lambda \quad (3)$$

Energy-momentum conservation follows from Eqs.(2,3) because of the Bianchi identity $D^\mu(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}) = D^\mu(\Lambda g_{\mu\nu} + 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}) = D^\mu\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu} = 0$.

Note that the separation of $\mathcal{T}_{\mu\nu}$ into two terms, one involving $\Lambda(t)$, as in Eq(1), is not meaningful except in a phenomenological sense because of energy conservation.

In the present cosmic era, denoted by the subscript '0', Eqs.(2,3) become respectively:

$$\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_0 = H_0^2 + \frac{k}{R_0^2} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda_0 \quad (4)$$

$$-8\pi G p_0 = -2q_0 H_0^2 + H_0^2 + \frac{k}{R_0^2} - \Lambda_0 \quad (5)$$

where we have used $q_0 = -\frac{\ddot{R}_0}{R_0 H_0^2}$ and $H_0 = \frac{\dot{R}_0}{R_0}$.

For the present era, $p_0 \ll \rho_0$ for cold matter and then Eq.(5) becomes:

$$q_0 = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_M - \Omega_\Lambda \quad (6)$$

where $\Omega_M = \frac{8\pi G \rho_0}{3H_0^2}$ and $\Omega_\Lambda = \frac{\Lambda_0}{3H_0^2}$.

Now we can introduce the form of $\Lambda(t)$ we shall assume by writing

$$\Lambda(t) = bR(t)^{-P} \quad (7)$$

where b is a constant and the exponent P we shall study for the range $0 \leq P < 3$. This motivates the introduction of the new variables

$$\tilde{\Omega}_M = \Omega_M - \frac{P}{3-P}\Omega_\Lambda, \quad \tilde{\Omega}_\Lambda = \frac{3}{3-P}\Omega_\Lambda \quad (8)$$

It is unnecessary to redefine Ω_C because $\tilde{\Omega}_M + \tilde{\Omega}_\Lambda = \Omega_M + \Omega_\Lambda$.

The equation for the first Doppler peak incorporating the possibility of non-zero P is found to be the following modification for $\Omega_C = 0$

$$l_1 = \pi \left(\frac{R_t}{R_0} \right) \left[\tilde{\Omega}_M \left(\frac{R_0}{R_t} \right)^3 + \tilde{\Omega}_\Lambda \left(\frac{R_0}{R_t} \right)^P \right]^{1/2} \int_1^{\frac{R_0}{R_t}} \frac{dw}{\sqrt{\tilde{\Omega}_M w^3 + \tilde{\Omega}_\Lambda w^P}} \quad (9)$$

The dependence of l_1 on P is illustrated graphically by figures in ¹. Further discussion of the dependence of l_1 on Ω_C , using the formulas of ², is given in ³.

We have introduced P as a parameter which is real and with $0 \leq P < 3$. For $P \rightarrow 0$ we regain the standard cosmological model. But now we must investigate other restrictions already necessary for P before precision cosmological measurements restrict its range even further.

Only for certain P is it possible to extrapolate the cosmology consistently for all $0 < w = (R_0/R) < \infty$. For example, in the flat case $\Omega_C = 0$ which our universe seems to approximate, the formula for the expansion rate is

$$\frac{1}{H_0^2} \left(\frac{\dot{R}}{R} \right)^2 = \tilde{\Omega}_M w^3 + \tilde{\Omega}_\Lambda w^P \quad (10)$$

This is consistent as a cosmology only if the right-hand side has no zero for a real positive $w = \hat{w}$. The root $\hat{w}$ is

$$\hat{w} = \left(\frac{3(1 - \Omega_M)}{P - 3\Omega_M} \right)^{\frac{1}{3-P}} \quad (11)$$

If $0 < \Omega_M < 1$, consistency requires that $P < 3\Omega_M$.

Another constraint on the cosmological model is provided by nucleosynthesis which requires that the rate of expansion for very large w does not differ too much from that of the standard model.

The expansion rate for $P = 0$ coincides for large w with that of the standard model so it is sufficient to study the ratio:

$$(\dot{R}/R)_P^2 / (\dot{R}/R)_{P=0}^2 \xrightarrow{w \rightarrow \infty} (3\Omega_M - P) / ((3 - P)\Omega_M) \quad (12)$$

$$\xrightarrow{w \rightarrow \infty} (4\Omega_R - P) / ((4 - P)\Omega_R) \quad (13)$$

where the first limit is for matter-domination and the second is for radiation-domination (the subscript R refers to radiation).

The constraints of avoiding a bounce ($\dot{R} = 0$) in the past, and then requiring consistency with BBN leads to $0 < P < 0.2$.

Clearly, from the point of view of inflationary cosmology, the precise vanishing of $\Omega_C = 0$ is a crucial test and its confirmation will be facilitated by comparison models such as the present one.

1. J.L. Crooks, J.O. Dunn, P.H. Frampton and Y.J. Ng. [astro-ph/0005406](#)
2. P.H. Frampton, Y.J. Ng and R.M Rohm, Mod. Phys. Lett **A13**, 2541 (1998). [astro-ph/9806118](#).
3. S. Weinberg, [astro-ph/0006276](#).